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## Remark

In this talk, we work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.
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## Representation of $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$

The above data of the representation can be characterized in the following picture
such that $\left.(e f-f e=h)\right|_{V_{\lambda}}=\lambda I d_{V_{\lambda}}$.

## Remark

We can consider a more general case, which is the representation of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$. The third data is replaced by $\left.(e f-f e)\right|_{V_{\lambda}}=[\lambda]_{q} I d_{V_{\lambda}}$, where $[\lambda]_{q}:=q^{\lambda-1}+q^{\lambda-3}+\ldots+q^{-\lambda+1}$ is the quantum integer.
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Main idea: Replace vector spaces by categories and linear maps by functors.

- Such a process can help us to understand deeper structures.
- It has many applications, e.g., modular representation theory, equivalence of categories, knot homologies....etc.
- Geometry is a good resource for producing categories.
- It can be decategorified to recover the original vector space.
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The commutator relation $\left.(e f-f e)\right|_{V_{\lambda}}=\lambda I d_{V_{\lambda}}$ should be lifted to
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Here $p_{1}: F l(k-1, k) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, N)$ and $p_{2}: F l(k-1, k) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k-1, N)$ are natural projections. We define the following functors
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Theorem 1 (Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson, Chuang-Rouquier)
The categories and functors defined above gives a categorical $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ action. This means that the functors defined above satisfy
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## The motivation of our problem

Motivated by the above result, we replace constructible sheaves with coherent sheaves. Roughly speaking, this means that instead of studying locally constant functions (constructible sheaves), we study holomorphic functions (coherent sheaves).
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Denoting $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ to be the tautological bundles on $F l(k-1, k)$ of rank $k$, $k-1$ respectively, then there is a natural line bundle $\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ on $F l(k-1, k)$. Instead of just pullback and pushforward, we have more functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{r}:=p_{2 *}\left(p_{1}^{*} \otimes\left(\mathcal{V} / \mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right)^{r}\right): \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{G}(k, N)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{G}(k-1, N)) \\
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## Theorem 2 (Hsu)

(1)The resulting algebra acting on $\bigoplus_{k} \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{G}(k, N))$ is a new algebra, which we call it the shifted $q=0$ affine algebra. Denoted by $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$. (2)We give a definition of the categorical $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \operatorname{sl}_{2}\right)$ action. (3)We verify that there is a categorical $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ action on $\bigoplus_{k} \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{G}(k, N))$.

## Remark

More generally, we constructed a categorical $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{n}\right)$ action on the derived categories of coherent sheaves on $n$-step partial flag varieties.
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## Definition 3

Let $X, Y$ be two smooth projective varieties. A Fourier-Mukai (FM) kernel is any object $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(X \times Y)$. For such $\mathcal{P}$ we define the associated Fourier-Mukai (FM) transform, which is the functor

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(Y) \\
\mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi_{2 *}\left(\pi_{1}^{*}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{P}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are natural projections.
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where $\iota: F l(k-1, k) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(k, N) \times \mathbb{G}(k-1, N)$ is the natural inclusion, i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{r} \cong \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{r} \mathbf{1}_{(k, N-k)}}$. Similarly, we denote
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$$

to be the FM kernel for $\mathrm{F}_{s}$, i.e., $\mathrm{F}_{s} \cong \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_{r} \mathbf{1}_{(k, N-k)}}$.
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Then we define the notion of exceptional collections.

## Definition 5
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## Definition 6
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Let $\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\}$ be an exceptional collection of $\mathcal{D}$. Then we have the following SOD

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\langle\mathcal{A}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\rangle
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\left\langle E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ and $E_{i}$ denote the full triangulated subcategory generated by the object $E_{i}$.

## Remark

For a full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$, we define $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}=\left\{X \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(C, X)=0 \forall C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})\right\}$ to be the right orthogonal to $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{D}$. It is a triangulated subcategories of $\mathcal{D}$.

## Remark

An exceptional collection is called full if the subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ is zero.
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The simplest example is given by Beilinson for projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}=\mathbb{G}(1, N)$.

## Theorem 7 (Beilinson)

There is a full exceptional collection (thus a SOD)
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## Theorem 7 (Beilinson)

There is a full exceptional collection (thus a SOD)
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## Theorem 8 (M. Kapranov)
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## Relate to the categorical action

More precisely, by using the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem we get
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## Relate to the categorical action

More precisely, by using the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem we get

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{1}} * \ldots * \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \in P(N-k, k)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{1}} * \ldots * \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}$ is the FM kernel for the functor $\mathrm{F}_{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}:=\mathrm{F}_{\lambda_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~F}_{\lambda_{k}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}$.
We know that $\left\{\mathbb{S}_{\lambda} \mathcal{V}\right\}_{\lambda \in P(N-k, k)}$ is an exceptional collection, it is natural to ask the following question.
Question: Given an (abstract) categorical $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ action on $\mathcal{K}$. Do the collection of functors

$$
\left\{\mathrm{F}_{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}: \mathcal{K}(0, N) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(k, N-k)\right\}_{\lambda \in P(N-k, k)}
$$

behave like an exceptional collection?

## SOD of weight categories

## Proposition 9 (Hsu)
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(2) $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\lambda} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}, \mathrm{F}_{\lambda^{\prime}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, N)}\right) \cong 0$, if $\lambda<_{l} \lambda^{\prime}$ (semiorthogonal property)
where $<_{l}$ is the lexicographical order.
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## Theorem 10 (Hsu)

Given a categorical $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ action $\mathcal{K}$. There is a SOD
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\mathcal{K}(k, N-k)=\left\langle\mathcal{A}(k, N-k),\binom{N}{k}-\text { copies of } \mathcal{K}(0, N)\right\rangle
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where $\mathcal{A}(k, N-k)$ is the orthogonal complement.

## Remark

In fact, we prove the above theorem for the $\mathfrak{s l}_{n}$ case.
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Question2 : If so, i.e. $\mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}\left(X_{(k, N-k)}\right)=\langle\mathcal{A}(k, N-k), \ldots\rangle$ with $\mathcal{A}(k, N-k) \neq 0$ for all $k$, then is $\bigoplus_{k} \mathcal{A}(k, N-k)$ a (categorical) sub-representation of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ ?

## Recent works

## Theorem 11 (Jiang-Leung, 2019)

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $\mathcal{G}$ a coherent sheaf on $X$ with homological dimension $\leq 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{G}$ admits a resolution $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{E}^{0}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ locally free.
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where $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $r:=r k \mathcal{E}^{0}-r k \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ is the rank for $\mathcal{G}$.

## Recent works

## Theorem 11 (Jiang-Leung, 2019)

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $\mathcal{G}$ a coherent sheaf on $X$ with homological dimension $\leq 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{G}$ admits a resolution $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{E}^{0}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ locally free. Then we have the following SOD

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}))=\left\langle\mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(X)(1), \ldots, \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(X)(r)\right\rangle\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $r:=r k \mathcal{E}^{0}-r k \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ is the rank for $\mathcal{G}$.

## Remark

When $\mathcal{G}$ is locally free, this result recover the projective bundle formula by Orlov.

## Recent works

## Theorem 11 (Jiang-Leung, 2019)

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $\mathcal{G}$ a coherent sheaf on $X$ with homological dimension $\leq 1$. This implies that $\mathcal{G}$ admits a resolution $\mathcal{E}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{E}^{0}, \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ locally free. Then we have the following SOD
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$$

where $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $r:=r k \mathcal{E}^{0}-r k \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ is the rank for $\mathcal{G}$.

## Remark

When $\mathcal{G}$ is locally free, this result recover the projective bundle formula by Orlov. Moreover, Jiang-Leung prove the above result for $X$ to be a regular scheme.

## Current work: Relative Grassmannian

## Theorem 12 (Y. Toda, 2021)

Let $X$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be the same as in Theorem 11. Then there is a SOD for $\left.\mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, d))\right)$ which extends the result by Jiang-Leung, where $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, d)$ is the Grassmannian parametrizes rank $d$ locally free quotient of $\mathcal{G}$.
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The tools used by Toda include (-1)-shifted symplectic structure, Koszul duality, categorified Hall algebra. We wish to give an elementary proof by constructing a categorical action of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}_{0, N}\left(L \operatorname{sl}_{2}\right)$ on $\left.\bigoplus_{d} \mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, d))\right)$, and we expect the SOD we obtain will be the same as the one by Jiang-Leung and Toda but provide an extra representation theoretic interpretation of the orthogonal complements (e.g. $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)$ ).

## Remark

If fact, $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E} x t^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right)$ is a Springer-type resolution of the singular locus $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{G}):=\left\{x \in X \mid \mathrm{rk} \mathcal{G}_{x}>r\right\}$, and $r:=\mathrm{rk} \mathcal{E}^{0}-\mathrm{rk} \mathcal{E}^{-1}=\mathrm{rk} \mathcal{G}$.

## Thank you for your attention.

